

(see also flowcharts on Changes in authorship, as such requests may indicate the presence of a ghost or gift author)



## \* Note

Initial action will depend on journal's normal method of collecting author/ contributor info

### \*\*Note

Including clear guidance/criteria for authorship in journal instructions makes it easier to handle such issues

## \*\*\*Note

Marusic et al. have shown that the method of collecting such data (e.g. free text or check boxes) can influence the response.

Letting authors describe their own contributions probably results in the most truthful and informative answers.

### Reference

Marusic A, Bates T, Anic A et al. *How* the structure of contribution disclosure statement affects validity of authorship: a randomised study in ageneral medical journal. Curr Med Res Opin 2006;22:1035–44

Developed for COPE by Liz Wager of Sideview (www.lizwager.com) © 2013 Committee on Publication Ethics First published 2006

A non-exclusive licence to reproduce these flowcharts may be applied for by writing to: cope\_administrator @publicationethics.org

# publicationethics.org